

# A STREAMLINED PROOF OF GOODWILLIE'S $n$ -EXCISIVE APPROXIMATION

CHARLES REZK

**ABSTRACT.** We give a shorter proof of Lemma 1.9 from Goodwillie, “Calculus III”, which is the key step in proving that the construction  $P_n F$  gives an  $n$ -excisive functor.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a homotopy functor  $F$  from spaces to spaces, Goodwillie has defined the notion of an “ $n$ -excisive approximation”, which is a homotopy functor  $P_n F$  together with a natural transformation  $p_n F: F \rightarrow P_n F$ . In [Goo03, Thm. 1.8] it is shown that the functor  $P_n F$  is in fact an  $n$ -excisive functor, and therefore that  $p_n F$  is the universal example of a map from  $F$  to an  $n$ -excisive functor. The notable feature of this proof is that no hypotheses involving connectivity are needed. Goodwillie’s proof relies on a clever lemma [Goo03, Lemma. 1.9], which is, as he notes, “a little opaque”.

The purpose of this note is to give a streamlined proof of Goodwillie’s lemma. Our proof uses his ideas, but is simpler, and we believe less opaque. We will assume that the reader is familiar with [Goo03], and we assume the context and notation of §1 of that paper.

## 2. LEMMA 1.9 OF CALCULUS III

Let  $\mathcal{P}(n)$  denote the poset of subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , and let  $\mathcal{P}_0(n) \subset \mathcal{P}(n)$  be the poset of non-empty subsets.

If  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a homotopy functor, Goodwillie define a functor  $T_n F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and natural map  $t_n F: F \rightarrow T_{n-1} F$  by

$$F(X) \xrightarrow{t_n F} \text{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{P}_0(n+1)} F(X * U).$$

**2.1. Lemma.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be any strongly cocartesian  $n$ -cube in  $\mathcal{U}$ , and let  $F$  be any homotopy functor. The map of cubes  $(t_n F)(\mathcal{X}): F(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow (T_n F)(\mathcal{X})$  factors through some cartesian cube.*

*Proof.* We write  $n$  instead of  $n + 1$ . Given any cube  $\mathcal{X}$  and a set  $U \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ , define a cube  $\mathcal{X}_U$  by

$$\mathcal{X}_U(T) = \text{hocolim} \left( \mathcal{X}(T) \leftarrow \coprod_{s \in U} \mathcal{X}(T) \rightarrow \coprod_{s \in U} \mathcal{X}(T \cup \{s\}) \right).$$

We have  $\mathcal{X}_\emptyset(T) \approx \mathcal{X}(T)$ , and there is an evident map  $\alpha: \mathcal{X}_U(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(T) * U$ , which is natural in both  $T$  and  $U$ .

---

*Date:* December 7, 2008.

The map  $(t_{n-1}F)(\mathcal{X})$  factors as follows:

$$F(\mathcal{X}(T)) \rightarrow \text{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{P}_0(n)} F(\mathcal{X}_U(T)) \rightarrow \text{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{P}_0(n)} F(\mathcal{X}(T) * U) \approx (T_{n-1}F)(\mathcal{X}(T)).$$

Now suppose that  $\mathcal{X}$  is strongly cocartesian. Then there are natural weak equivalences  $\mathcal{X}_U(T) \approx \mathcal{X}(T \cup U)$ . The maps  $\mathcal{X}(T \cup U) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(T \cup \{s\} \cup U)$  are isomorphisms for  $s \in U$ , and thus if  $U$  is non-empty the cube  $T \mapsto F(\mathcal{X}_U(T))$  is cartesian. Therefore  $\text{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{P}_0(n)} F(\mathcal{X}_U(T))$  is a homotopy limit of cartesian cubes, and thus is cartesian.  $\square$

Note that this shows that if  $T$  is non-empty, then  $U \mapsto F(\mathcal{X}_U(T))$  is cartesian, so that  $F(\mathcal{X}(T)) \rightarrow \text{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{P}_0(n)} F(\mathcal{X}_U(T))$  is a weak equivalence for  $T \neq \emptyset$ . For  $T = \emptyset$ , we see that  $\text{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{P}_0(n)} F(\mathcal{X}_U(\emptyset)) \approx \text{holim}_{U \in \mathcal{P}_0(n)} F(\mathcal{X}(U))$ .

#### REFERENCES

[Goo03] Thomas G. Goodwillie, *Calculus. III. Taylor series*, Geom. Topol. **7** (2003), 645–711 (electronic).  
MR MR2026544

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA, IL  
*E-mail address:* rezk@math.uiuc.edu